

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From March 9-10, 2010 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
<b><u>Eligibility &amp; Enrolment:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Working Group:</u> Agreement by Parties to establish a working group. Working Group to develop options for main table consideration to address Canada's costing concern. Working group members: Patricia Eeles, Patrick Scott, Bernadette Norwegian, and Sara Flaherty. GNWT may nominate 1 representative, if it wishes to participate.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Fish Chapter:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>New Chapter:</u> LTC to draft a new chapter - main table to determine who should hold the pen on this.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Wildlife Harvesting Chapter:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Definition of Wildlife:</u> Delete footnote #2. Definition of Wildlife to include eggs of non-migratory Birds.</li> <li>• Delete footnote #3</li> <li>• <u>Jurisdiction (W.1.5, W.1.6, W.2.4, W.4.3, W.5.2 &amp; W.5.3):</u> GNWT to draft language on the exercise of Dehcho jurisdiction over its citizens in respect of harvesting. GNWT to forward to Chris who will determine how best to combine this language with the existing DFN draft.</li> <li>• <u>Consult (W.1.6):</u> DFN preference is to define "Consult" to incorporate concept of "before and after the fact". GNWT/Canada would like to separate. LTC to review.</li> <li>• <u>Commercial Harvesting (W.1.7):</u> Add to existing footnote #9 DFN proposing: Nothing in this chapter would be construed to..." rather than "nothing in the Agreement would be construed to..." Parties to</li> </ul>

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From March 9-10, 2010 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
	<p>discuss further.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Tax (W.1.8 &amp; W.1.11)</u>: LTC to review possible (alternative) wording and Canada to seek departmental input.</li> <li>• <u>W.1.10</u>: DFN to look at wording to change from a “right” to “jurisdiction”. Canada to review in relation to ILOs. Parties to review ILO wording in the Tlicho Agreement (12.5.10).</li> <li>• <u>W.2.1</u>: DFN to look at defining “personal”. Definition of “subsistence” already includes “personal” but DFN preference is to have a definition for each. LTC to review.</li> <li>• <u>W.2.3</u>: delete footnote #15 keeping language of “formalize relationship” as per DFN drafting.</li> <li>• <u>Access (W.3.0)</u>: LTC to review and discuss adding clauses MB.3.3, MB.3.4 and MB.3.5 of the Migratory Birds chapter. Footnotes from the MB Chapter to also be transferred. LTC to determine if better wording can be found.</li> </ul>
<b>Migratory Birds</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>MB.1.2</u>: Delete Footnote #3 – Parties agreed that clause could include the Migratory Birds Convention Act. Canada to draft language and review with the LTC. (including Migratory Birds Convention Act)</li> <li>• <u>MB.1.2(b) (Footnote #4)</u>: LTC to look at “related to” rather than “necessary”.</li> <li>• <u>MB. 1.4 (Footnote #5)</u>: Canada to seek further clarification on “To the extent reasonable...”</li> </ul>

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From March 9-10, 2010 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>MB.1.5 - Consult</u> - DFN preference is to define “Consult” in incorporate before and after the fact. GNWT/Canada would like to separate. LTC to review.</li> <li>• <u>MB 1.5 (Footnote #7)</u>: DFN has suggested that if “Consult” is used, they would be okay with “regarding” instead of “demonstrating”. Canada will need to consult with CWS.</li> <li>• <u>MB1.7 - Tax</u>: LTC to review possible wording and Canada to seek departmental input</li> <li>• <u>MB 1.10 – (Footnotes #9 and #10)</u>: Canada to consult with CWS</li> <li>• <u>MB.2.2 b)</u>: Delete footnote #11</li> <li>• <u>Delete Footnote 12 and ensure MB 2.3 is consistent with W.2.3</u>: keeping language of “formalize relationship” as per DFN drafting.</li> <li>• <u>MB.3.1</u>: Language should be consistent with language found in the Wildlife harvesting chapter.</li> <li>• <u>Ensure consistent with WL Harvesting chapter W.3.0 – Access</u>: LTC to review and discuss adding clauses 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 of the Migratory Birds chapter, transferring footnotes to the Wildlife Harvesting Chapter from the Migratory Birds Chapter. LTC to determine if better wording can be found.</li> </ul>
<p><b>To be determined which chapter this provision should be connected to once drafted by DFN.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DFN to draft language that would allow for protection of wildlife/plants from invasive species and diseases for consideration by the Parties.</li> </ul>

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From March 9-10, 2010 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>